

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/522,592

Applicant(s)

MADJAR ET AL.

Examiner

NARAYAN K. BHAT

Art Unit

1634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Examiner Bhat.(3) Attorney: Mr. Meyers.(2) Supervisor: Schultz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2009.Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 28.Identification of prior art discussed: Stanton et al., Larsen et al. and Gelfand et al.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative Mr. Meyers was contacted to discuss the possibility of allowing independent claim 28 with amendments to the claim language. Mr. Meyers agreed to the amendments as suggested by the examiner and supervisor.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Narayan K. Bhat
Examiner, AU1634